

## Example of a completed Figure E 2.3a

### University of Central Oklahoma

#### Peer Review Compilation Form

This form is to be completed by the department chair/director (or the dean if the department chair/director is being evaluated).

Department/School \_\_\_\_\_ College of \_\_\_\_\_

Tenured faculty member \_\_\_\_\_

Department Chair/Director \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

A	B										C	D	E
Category: Excellence in	Ratings by Peers										Average Rating of Peers-See #4	Weight -See #3	Points -See #2
Effective Classroom Teaching	1	3	2	3	3	2	2	1			2.13	.6	1.28
Scholarly or Creative Achievement	3	<u>3</u>	3	<u>3</u>	3	<u>3</u>	3	<u>3</u>			3.00	.2	.6
Contribution to Institution and Profession	1	1	1	2	2	1	2	2			1.50	.2	.3
Total Score												1.0	2.18

1. A tenure-track faculty member who has been assigned non-teaching, semi-administrative, or administrative duties will be evaluated and rated appropriate to assigned duties (see Appendix F).
2. Multiply the Average Rating of Peers by the Weight to obtain Points ( $C \times D = E$ )
3. Weights shall be determined by colleges and departments in accordance with their mission and must total 1.0. In accordance with the university's mission, teaching must be weighted no less than .50.
4. All numbers should be exact to two decimal places.

*Note: This faculty member has one deficiency, but not a critical deficiency.*

Compilation by Chair/Director/Dean: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Compiler